ALAN L. FRANK ●*+° KYLE M. KULZER*+ SAMANTHA A. MILLROOD*+ EVAN L. FRANK *+₺△ JORDAN E. FRANK *+ JACLYN H. FRANK *+4 JEFFREY J. GOLDIN *+ PATRICK W. BROWN * SERGIY MELNYK*

ALAN L. FRANK LAW ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Attorneys at Law

NEW JERSEY OFFICE

1103 LAUREL OAK ROAD SUITE 140 VOORHEES, NJ 08043

E-MAIL ADDRESS: afrank@alflaw.net

PARALEGALS DEBRA E. MCGUCKIN DEE A. WILK DAWN M. WELSH

135 OLD YORK ROAD **JENKINTOWN, PA 19046** (215) 935-1000 FAX NO. (215) 935-1110

February 21, 2023

• Certified by the NJ Supreme Court

as a Civil Trial Attorney * MEMBER PA BAR

+ MEMBER NI BAR

• MEMBER NY BAR 4 MEMBER FL BAR

■ MEMBER DC BAR

△ REGISTERED PATENT ATTORNEY

MEMO ENDORSED:

Any Amended Complaint is to be filed by February 28, 2023.

Koul & Londphe

SO ORDERED.

Via ECF

Honorable Paul G. Gardephe Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007

Paul G. Gardephe

United States District Judge

Dated: February 24, 2023

Johnson v. Esports Entertainment Group Re:

Civil Case 1:22-cv-10861 – Response to Pre-Motion Letter

Dear Judge Gardephe:

I represent Plaintiff Grant Johnson, and I write in response to Defendant's pre-motion letter (ECF 13). I support Defendant's request to have Plaintiff file an Amended Complaint as the next step procedurally – before Defendant is required to file its proposed motion (if permitted) or answer. I request that Plaintiff be given until Tuesday, February 28 to file his Amended Complaint.

Defense counsel correctly states that we indicated we would file an amended complaint with an additional count. The additional count is a defamation claim arising out of false statements about Plaintiff in Defendant's S-1 filing on January 13, 2023. This occurred after the original Complaint was filed on December 23, 2022, and therefore the count could not have been included in the original Complaint.

Although I agree with defense counsel that it is most efficient for Plaintiff to file his Amended Complaint at this time, I do not agree that the Court should allow the hybrid Motionto-Dismiss-or-Alternatively-for-Summary-Judgment. The proposed motion is inappropriate at the 12(b) stage because the outline set out in Defendant's letter repeatedly challenges the facts alleged in the Complaint.

In the first sentence, Defendant indicates that the proposed motion is "in the alternative, potentially for summary judgment ..." ECF 13, p. 1. At footnote 1, Defendant indicates it will submit "additional documentary evidence" and requests "that the Court advise if the parties should submit ... Statements of Material Facts in connection with the motion." Id., footnote 1.

Defendant then asks the Court to treat Defendant's termination letter as undisputed fact: "Notwithstanding Esports' clear and unambiguous Termination Letter, Johnson makes factually unsupportable and conclusory allegations that he was terminated improperly." However, Plaintiff plainly states that "Defendant's reasons stated in Defendant's termination letter are false." Compl., ¶ 22. Plaintiff backs this up by attaching company minutes (Compl., Ex C) showing that the board considered and rejected the very same allegations of "fraud" nearly a year ago. Compl., Ex. C (ECF 1-3), p. 2.

Defendant then makes a series of factual allegations against Plaintiff, including that these same company minutes are part of a "cover up" by Plaintiff:

- "If anything, the minutes reflect a 'cover up' by Johnson". ECF 13, p. 4.
- "Johnson's knowing misrepresentation constitutes fraud, willful misconduct, and/or gross negligence as a matter of law." ECF 13, p. 4.
- "Johnson's fraud and misconduct was incapable of being cured ... Thus sixty (60) days' notice was not required here ..." ECF 13, p. 5.
- "Moreover, Esports can demonstrate serious injury supporting Johnson's for Cause termination". ECF 13, p. 5, footnote 3.
- "... clear documentary evidence demonstrates Johnson forfeited and/or was not entitled to such alleged compensation" [referring to unidentified documents from outside of the pleadings]. ECF 13, p. 5.

Defendant also intends to rely on unidentified but "indisputable documents referenced in and/or integral to the Complaint" (ECF 13, page 4), using the words "integral to the Complaint" in an effort to add evidence to the record but still apply the 12(b) standard.

No doubt, Defendant will attempt to show, as it alleges at ECF 13, page 1, that the "indisputable facts demonstrate Esports properly terminated Johnson's employment upon his commission of fraud and/or willful misconduct". But this is not the time for Defendant to do so, since Plaintiff does not allege in his own Complaint that he committed "fraud and/or willful misconduct", and at the outset of the Complaint (page 1, paragraph 1) Plaintiff alleges that "Defendant terminated Plaintiff for contrived reasons …"

If Defendant intends to show that Exhibit C to the Complaint was actually part of a "cover up by Johnson" (ECF 13, p. 4), that "Esports can demonstrate serious injury supporting Johnson's for Cause termination" (id., p. 5., n. 3), or that there is "clear documentary evidence" from outside the pleadings that would support Defendants' case (id., p. 5), then Defendant should do so at trial after discovery, not at the 12(b) stage.

For these reasons, I request that the Court direct Plaintiff to file an Amended Complaint by February 28, allow Defendant to wait until after that point to file an answer, and decline to allow the proposed motion to dismiss or alternatively motion for summary judgment.

Respectfully,

/s/ Alan L. Frank Alan L. Frank